Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/721,721	KAPPES ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
11011011	Christopher Biagini	2442

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 27 February 2009.

1. ☑ Improper Request – The Request is impreason(s):	proper and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been file ☐ The request does not include reasons ☐ A proposed amendment is included wire ☐ Other: 	
The time period for filing a response continues the mail date of the last Office communication,	to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal be is required to submit an appeal brief in accorda brief will be reset to be one month from mailing running from the receipt of the notice of appea	nd Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ecause there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal g this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period I, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _	
	nas been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of nerits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference hat action will be mailed. No further action is requ	as been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ired by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Christopher Biagini</u> .	(3) <u>Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit</u> .
(2) <u>Andrew Caldwell</u> .	(4)
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442	